W

| Notice of Allowability | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|------------|
| | 10/531,869 | BIANCUCCI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ted Kavanaugh | 3728 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI | ears on the cover sheet with the co (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308. | plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THI | S ative |
| This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed 2-12</u> | <u>2-2007</u> . | | |
| 2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>14 and 15</u> . | | | |
| 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received. | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have | • • | | ۵ |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | dullond have been received in alle | national stage application from the | • |
| * Certified copies not received: | • | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | of this communication to file a reply lENT of this application. | complying with the requirements | |
| A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' es reason(s) why the oath or declara | 'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient. | |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus | | | |
| (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers | | 948) attached | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date | | | |
| (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date | | | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the | .84(c)) should be written on the drawir he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(o | ngs in the front (not the back) of d). | |
| DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL n FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC | nust be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL. | į |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | - 57 (1 () 15 | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5. Notice of Informal P | • • | |
| | 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat | te | |
| Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date | 7. 🛭 Examiner's Amendn | nent/Comment | |
| 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8. Examiner's Stateme | ent of Reasons for Allowance | |
| of blooglear Material | 9. | | |
| | | | |
| | • | | |
| | • | | |

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Patch on 2-12-2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 14, lines 10 and 13, the phrase —on the underside of the sole—has been inserted after "projections".

Ted Kavaraugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 2

TK March 5, 2007

PCT/IT2003/000714 JC12 Rec'd PCT/PTC 1 9 APR 2005

Improved shoe sole provided with retractable

slipping means

5

10

15

20

25

hisapplication is a 371 of PCT/ITO3/00714 Filed Nov. 4th, 2003 The present invention relates to the footwear industry and more specifically concerns the manufacturing of soles for shoes to be used where the ground particularly slippery, for example frozen, snowy or muddy ground.

It is well known that under such circumstances anyone can hardly stand. The danger of slipping can obviously be avoided by resorting to the known hobnail shoes or shoes provided with particular high-adherence soles which, however, are technical shoes with precise characteristics of weight and strength and compatible with the normal use of shoes.

The resort to hobnail shoes could once be avoided by applying sealskins or the like to shoes to prevent the sole from touching the frozen ground. Based on the same principle are rubber sheaths available on the market today which have to be secured to shoes in a removable manner and carry a number of nails or hooks on the face directed to ground.

Such solution, however, has the serious drawback of forcing the user, whenever he or she habitation or leaves the road to enter a building, to remove the sheathes from the shoes to avoid that its nails or hooks damage floors by somehow or other. It is self-evident that such operation, uncomfortable in itself, requires that user must take away the sheathes with him or her waiting for put them on again.